

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

“NO ONE PERSON CAN DO EVERYTHING.” —Jack Telnack, former head of Design at Ford Motor Co., credited with designing the original Ford Taurus

Lion's inappropriate injection of race into the discussion of where O.J. should move was bound to produce aftershocks for Pat, Paula and me. Nobody expected those aftershocks to create the avalanche it did of nuisance mail and requests for deletion from our mailing lists.

At least we were back on the trail of the killer. As individuals working alone, the OJI's and OJU's could gather a great deal of information and propose any number of hypotheses to explain what we'd found. But with only our own resources, experiences and perspectives to work with, we were at the mercy of our ignorance and our predispositions to fill the gaps.

While the OJG's were busy adding anything negative about O.J. that they could find to their list of reasons to find him guilty and tossing out anything that suggested otherwise, the OJI's were evaluating everything on its own merits. It did us no good to stretch the truth, ignore the truth, shade it or bend it. Our task was to find it. For that, we needed each other.

Subj: Re: Intersecting Worlds

Date: 97-03-01

From: Trooper

To: Paula

CC: Trille, Peggy, Pat

Paula— I've been thinking a lot about your World of Nicole hypothesis. There's too much in it to set off by itself somewhere. I did put aside OJ's World of Faye Resnick hypothesis because it didn't tie into anything I could make a solid case for. Christine said some intriguing things about Marcus Allen, Al Cowlings and Robert Kardashian. Her observations seemed to follow a logical direction of their own, one that

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

would include Faye Resnick and exclude Fuhrman. The trouble with excluding Fuhrman is, if you do so, you also exclude the only direct link to the crime (the Rockingham glove). That opens up a whole new can of worms.

But what would happen if we took a look at all those worlds in the places they came together? Would AC's, Allen's and Kardacian's ties to Resnick and drugs tell us anything about Nicole's ties to Mark Fuhrman and Ron Goldman? Possibly.

Could Nicole have been seeing Ron and MF without Resnick knowing about it? I don't think so. In which case, Nicole was either not seeing them (one or both), or Resnick isn't saying what she knows. Could she have been part of the setup? What was her relationship to Fuhrman? Was she really the friend Nicole thought she was, or did she have plans for cashing in big on "the trial of the century?"

We still don't know the identity of the woman calling herself "Nicole" who called the women's shelter, or the woman who asked if the LAPD was "sitting on two bodies" shortly before the bodies were found. Could that woman have been Faye Resnick in one or both cases? Or should we be looking at Mrs. Fuhrman or Mrs. Roberts?

Sure would like to know what the private investigators found out about Resnick. The fact that they have invested most of their time and effort in learning what they could about her should have told us something about the intersecting worlds of Nicole. I'll have to give that some more thought while I dig where I can for some more answers.

I haven't visited the Geraldo web site yet. Have too much e-mail to catch up on (127 letters to sift through in 3 days! This brings me down to 52). —Jasper

Subj: Re: Intersecting Worlds
Date: 97-03-01 20:56:36 EST

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

From: Christine (Trille)

To: Trooper

Hi Trooper—What Geraldo web site? What is this about a credit card alibi of MF? Also, I don't discount MF as the murderer, but there are more people involved in this case, maybe not as murderers or accomplices, but they sure know more than they told.

Cora Fischman told (in her deposition) about Faye wanting to have three-way sex with possibly Ron Goldman. Cora disliked Faye, so didn't tell Faye anything. Faye is not anyone's friend, and she was trying to get Nicole to invest money with her, Faye, for some pie-in-the-sky restaurant. At least that is what it was supposed to be, but maybe that was what Nicole THOUGHT AND FAYE HAD NO INTENTION OF REALLY STARTING A RESTAURANT BUT JUST PLANNED TO CON NICOLE OUT OF THE MONEY. Faye was also involved with an insurance scam which is the real reason she didn't testify in the criminal trial, because she had received money fraudulently from some insurance co. pretending to be severely injured so that she was totally handicapped. Yet she went to Capo San Lucas and went water-skiing with Nicole and O.J. —Trille

Subj: Re: Is it any wonder?

Date: 97-03-03 08:29:44 EST

From: Hhhana

To: Trooper

Hey bud— ...I have to give Judge one concession on his message. I have a feeling he is successful in a world where he is the minority and has to be very careful about opinions on such issues as race and injustice (The "can't rock the boat" thing). It's a shame because he knows the truth, and in this forum he can freely express it without worrying about loss of stature. Maybe he'll break out of the self-imposed restraint he

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

oozes. (If anyone can get him to do it, the evil ones get my vote.) ...Hhhana

Hhhana was far more generous with Judge than I would have been. I didn't tell her that he was never going to take an honest look at himself—that “the self imposed restraint he oozes” was as much a part of him as the pores in his skin. I thought I'd heave with that line of his about being able to “take the heat” when the fire was in someone else's barn. Lion was the one taking the heat. By stepping gently over to our side for the moment without using words that would offend anyone, he was being consistent. He was with us on Lion's “black community” gaff only because we were clearly on the winning side and it made him seem “judicial” and “independent” to agree with us on that one point. He was doing no one a favor by pretending that the nastiness between us that surfaced in his first letter to me never happened, and the issue of race in our discussion group was merely a problem of semantics and good manners. If a black judge can't look racism in the eye and call it what it is, where can you go from there?

Subj: Fire Storm

Date: 97-03-04 09:03:25 EST

From: Hhhana

To: Judge

CC: Rabne, Pat, Trooper

Hi Judge— Looks like I've started quite a fire storm. It was unintentional, although I believe my message carried a valid point. The racial issues erupting from the Simpson case go far beyond the case itself. I am not surprised by the depths of the passion displayed by the other side; mine is as deep if not deeper.

For those of us who don't believe OJ had the time to commit the crimes, don't believe he had a motive to commit the crimes, don't believe he did anything to give an appearance of guilt, it won't be over until we have some answers.

Phil brought up a good point on the Bundy blood-drops. They were circular; experts indicate this would be caused

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

only by: step, stop, drop—step, stop, drop. The drops are consistent with the wounded person standing still dripping the blood on the ground. Also questionable, the small cuts OJ displayed would not have bled enough to make a drop that size, unless you believe the cut to the middle finger was there before he left for Chicago. Too many people who saw him, and would have noticed a wound that size, just didn't see it.

Also a problem at the Rockingham scene. The “blood trail” on the driveway was inconsistent. Too much distance between some of the drops. A good point also is that we have beat this whole thing around and around. The time for argument is over regarding guilt/innocence. Some of us are looking for answers, but we certainly don't expect to change the opinions of others.

It distresses me to keep reading over and over the comments regarding race. I find it offensive and have to speak out when I see it. I would much rather have these folks declare themselves and move on. If I said I think people in New York are too stupid to see OJ's innocence, then followed it with, “I don't want any of you to think I have something against people from New York,” it's rather transparent and I would expect to be called on it. Glad you're still with us. —Paula

Subj: Re: Fire Storm

Date: 97-03-04 09:26:17 EST

From: Pat

To: Judge, Hhhana

CC: Rabne, Trooper

Hi Guys—Wow, what a tempest in a teapot. I, too, should have known better than to agree with someone who did not tow the “Big Three” line. I agreed with something Ted said and I've been getting nasty e-mail ever since. I notice when they send me the really nasty stuff, they don't send copies to

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

anyone else. Why do you suppose that is? I'm feeling a little dense this morning. I'm just so depressed to be on the bad side of the Big Three. I guess they don't love me anymore. Oh well, I'll try to carry on the best I can. Ha, ha, ha. —Pat

Subj: Dropping like flies!
Date: 97-03-04
From: Hhhana
To: Trooper, Pat

Well, well, well—Looks like e-mail will be falling off, doesn't it? ...Look at what they're doing now. Haven't seen anything from Tiger and Bear. Waiting...waiting...waiting, —Paula

Subj: Re: No further messages
Date: 97-03-04 13:20:55 EST
From: Judi
To: Connie
CC: All

Connie— Please delete my name from your mailing list.
Thank you. —Judy

Subj: Re: No further messages
Date: 97-03-04 13:20:55 EST
From: Connie
To: Judi
CC: All

Judy— GLADLY! —Connie

Subj: Re: No further messages
Date: 97-03-04 13:27:26 EST
From: Connie (Magic777)
To: Trille
CC: All

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

Trille— Gee I hate to see someone go out on that note, so unfriendly, so sad—like a shooting star that just fizzles out.
—Connie

Subj: Re: Dropping like flies!
Date: 97-03-04 18:48:01 EST
From: Pat
To: Trooper, Hhhana

Paula—What's going on?? Am I missing something?? Let me know what is happening. I don't know who these people are who are asking me not to e-mail them any more. Oh well. I feel sort of foggy today anyway. —Pat

Subj: Re: No further messages
Date: 97-03-04 17:43:10 EST
From: Trille (Christine Armas)
To: Connie
CC: All

Connie—Gosh, even to ask to get off the list takes at least 10 different messages so that it is impossible to see who wrote what. Sometimes I see things that say Trille wrote, and I know that I didn't say it. Not that it is nasty or something, it just isn't me. This is the mailing list from hell! But people who ask to be taken off the main list are making a mistake. The way to get off it is NOT TO SEND ANYTHING, BECAUSE BY SENDING IT YOUR NAME IS ON THE LIST AGAIN AND WILL RETURN AGAIN AND AGAIN! —Trille

Subj: Re: What's going on...
Date: 97-03-04 23:24:22 EST
From: Hhhana
To: Trooper, Pat

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

Hi you two—I am never at a loss for words, but am getting close to it. Pat, I am not sure of the reason we're getting all of the requests for deletion, but so far I haven't gotten one from anybody I was mailing to anyway. I have assumed the bailout is due to an obvious victory for our side in the ring of debate—a small victory, but a victory nevertheless. I'm ticked after reading the latest nastygram from Tiger. Going back to give her a hit. The others are pretty quiet.

Oh...Oh...please go back and check out the bottom of my letter "Confused but secure," I think. The bottom line—put together the capital letters—felt real good. Who said we always have to be ladies, Pat. Get back after plucking Tiger. —
Paula

Now why do you suppose our Hard-Hearted-Hanna would want to "pluck" our sweet, innocent Tiger?

Subj: I suspect Trooper1

Date: 97-03-04 18:22:15 EST

From: Tiger

To: Hhhana

CC: Trooper, Trille, Matlock, Rabne, Pat, Peggy, Judge, Dable, Connie, Ted

Hhhana— In this quest for other suspects, personally, I suspect Trooper1. He is diverting suspicion to Mark Fuhrman (and Yamauchi) because he is a racist who hates whites and Asians. Where were you, on that fateful night, Trooper? Will you submit your DNA sample for testing? Perhaps you are a long lost identical twin of OJ Simpson.....and he is truly innocent while you are guilty. Anyone for a game of CLUE? —
Tiger

Subj: Uncomfortable?

Date: 97-03-04 18:22:15 EST

From: Hhhana

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

To: Tiger

CC: Trooper, Trille, Matlock, Rabne, Pat, Peggy, Judge, Dable, Connie, Ted

Tiger— Is Trooper173 really making you that uncomfortable? You are lashing out at a person who is not involved in the crimes or in the trial in any way. He is interested, as you once were.

You are no longer interested in the murders of Nicole Simpson and Ron Goldman. The killer has been revealed to you and you are satisfied. There are still some of us who are not. We all look at the people involved, try to make the link to someone else.

It's a mistake to make fun of us. We are NOT jokes, idiots, or inferior in any way. Our discussion has merit. If you are satisfied with OJ's guilt and if you are not interested in what we say, you don't need to add anything to the theories we pass back and forth. However, you really don't need to attack any of us. —Paula

Subj: Re: Looking for Mr. Goodbar.....

Date: 97-03-05 08:42:08 EST

From: Pat

To: Tiger, Openminded, Dable, Hhhana, Wolf, Mike, Peggy, Mike, Matlock, Chameleon, Connie, Lion, Bear

Tiger—This is beneath even you. —Pat

Subj: Re: Uncomfortable?

Date: 97-03-04 18:43:51 EST

From: Pat

To: Tiger, Hhhana

CC: Trooper, Trille, Matlock, Rabne, Peggy, Judge, Dable, Connie, Ted

Tiger— This is really not one of your more intelligent letters.

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

Now you are sticking up for Mark Fuhrman. There are those of us who don't agree with you and like to discuss other aspects of the case. Like Paula said, if you are satisfied, fine, but let those of us who are not find our own answers. I repeat what Paula said, we are not idiots or inferior or mindless which is the way you treat us because we have minds of our own and don't agree with everything you say. I thought that's what made a discussion, to explore different ideas and to look at things from different angles. Your idea of a discussion is for us to listen to your lectures and support your point of view. Your superior attitude does not make you smarter than us, just more intolerant. —Pat

Subj: Amateur by whose standards?

Date: 97-03-04

From: Tiger

To: Hhhana

CC: Trooper, Rabne, Pat, Judge, Ted

OJI's— Why have I become the focus of your concerns? Your evaluation of the quality of my messages is flattering but inconsequential. I happen to believe that the theory that Mark Fuhrman may be the real killer in the Simpson matter is so childish it deserves a response in kind. You surely don't have to agree or to approve. I have the same right to express my thoughts as each of you.

I also appreciate the amateur analysis of my level of comfort with Trooper. Strange. I wonder how you determine that. The amateur sleuthing is so sad. I thought I would point out that the probability of Trooper being the killer is just as great as Mark Fuhrman, or Marcia Clark (your next suspect?) Perhaps I, too, would like to see a more "intelligent" level of discussion. The other "little kid" remark about my being superior, also is interesting. It seems that this is Pat's evaluation. Perhaps she is right. If the shoe fits, I'll wear it.

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

Speaking of shoes, explain the photos, the bloody prints; the denials. NOTHING in this universe will convince some of you that the evidence in this case was beyond reproof. Keep hunting. I will keep smiling. —Tiger

Subj: Amateur by whose standards?
Date: 97-03-05 00:01:03 EST
From: Hhhana
To: Tiger
CC: Trooper, Rabne, Pat, Judge, Ted

I don't know why I feel the need to try to defend my statements, but I want to just make one effort.

Actually, I'm not an amateur at assessing human behavior. I'm surprised you haven't realized it before now. I recognize highly educated and intelligent people from their statements; don't need to have them lay out their credentials for me. I assumed you did, too.

In my note I used the words inferior, stupid, idiots. I was pointing out those of us who hold an opinion opposing yours are not these things. In your message you use racist, hate, childish, "little kid" and every one of them was used to describe good, decent, and intelligent people who mean you no harm. Is there something wrong with this picture?

If we choose to consider Mark Fuhrman as a possible suspect in the murders, an appropriate response would be, "I don't agree," period. If you think words don't hurt, you're wrong. Trooper173 would certainly be the last person in the world to accuse of hating Asians. I won't address it because I'm sure he will.

As for Trooper being as much a suspect as Mark Fuhrman, it reminds me of something I heard Vannatter say about OJ and Robert Shapiro. —Paula

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

Subj: Re: Uncomfortable?

Date: 97-03-05 00:28:22 EST

From: Tiger

To: Hhhana

CC: Trooper, Trille, Matlock, Rabne, Pat, Peggy, Judge, Dable, Connie, Ted

Hhhana— Hey, I'm serious. I thought he was joking. There is a point at which the theater of the absurd takes on an aura of reality. That's dangerous. —Tiger

Subj: Re: Uncomfortable?

Date: 97-03-05 13:13:04 EST

From: Trille (Christine Armas)

To: Tiger

CC: Trooper, Wildcat, Connie

No Tiger— You did not think Trooper was joking. I have noticed that whenever someone calls your bluff on one of your nasty remarks, you come back pretending you were joking or that you thought the other person was, and you know very well from our discussion how we feel. It is not enough to pretend that a remark was only meant to be funny, it doesn't take away from the poison you spewed. —Trille

Subj: Re: Uncomfortable?

Date: Wednesday, March 05, 1997 1:27 AM

From: Trooper

To: Hhhana

CC: Trille, Rabne, Pat, Peggy, Judge, Dable, Connie, Ted

Come on, Paula— You're pulling my leg, right? Tiger can't be that dumb. NOBODY could be that dumb. I know she's trying to cover herself for what she said about me before. She was deadly serious then (she had just learned that I was a black Vietnam vet) and it made her look ridiculous. That's when Lion called a truce and Judge jumped in to teach us all

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

how to be nice. Tiger is trying to be sarcastic now (the closest she can come to wit) but she must see what a parody she's making of her own analytical prowess. It's supposed to be a mirror of my analytical inadequacy and my reckless use of words—I think.

Any way you look at it, it's great.

You were right about a newspaper (or whatever you said) picking up on this. I'm still going to put it in a book. Now I'm sorry I zapped all of her other notes.

What do you say we advertise on the boards—send out a call for everybody's favorite big three (evil ones, three stooges) posts? What do you say?

Isn't it interesting how Lion, Tiger and Bear went from the "big three" to the "evil ones" to the "three stooges." We all use the names that fit what they were doing at the time. I never thought they would totally fall apart like that. It's hard to gloat when your dreaded enemy turn out to be Larry, Mo and Curly in drag. —Jasper

Subj: Re: Uncomfortable?

Date: 97-03-05 08:42:18 EST

From: Judge

To: Trooper, Hhhana

CC: Trille, Rabne, Peggy, Dable, Connie, Ted

Trooper— I did not jump in to teach anyone anything. I had observations and made them. —Judge

Subj: Re: Amateur by whose standards?

Date: 97-03-05 03:44:02 EST

From: Tiger

To: Hhhana

CC: Trooper, Rabne, Pat, Judge, Ted

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

Paula— I really have no idea what you're saying. I am speaking as honestly as I can. I think the Fuhrman notion is childish, desperate, and utterly ridiculous. That's really the kindest thing I can say about it. I am not name-calling, I am describing what I see. I cannot respect a perspective that has no foundation, and feel it would be insulting to pretend that I do. If someone suggested that the sun was a light bulb in the heavens, and presented a rationale for that idea, I would respond that the idea was utterly ridiculous. There ARE childish perspectives.

Even your Vannatter comparison confuses me. When he said that OJ was no more a suspect than Shapiro, he explained that he was referring to an official suspect. At that time, there was no evidence to connect OJ to the murders, and while he might have had a possible connection to the crime, there was no way he could have been a suspect. This, like the 1995 Fuhrman article, is irrelevant to any truths in these discussions. Funny, Trooper can accuse Yamauchi of having motives to lie, and accuse Fuhrman of being a murderer—that's OK. No foundation, just choose anyone around and cast unsubstantiated aspersions at them.

Do you guys think you know more than everyone on the Dream Team, all the investigators, all the people who backed Simpson? Is anyone pursuing your theories, or would they be laughed at in the real world? Paula, you cannot write the scripts for other people. "I don't agree" is not what I say to theories such as this one. No one asked me, nor do they care whether or not I agree. My response was to the utterly ludicrous nature of the idea itself. Suddenly it's if "we" choose to consider.... do you echo Trooper?

This was his baby—not yours.

...The man is filled with rage. He is disdainful of anyone who disagrees with HIM? He dismisses people as if he were some pontiff on a throne speaking to peasants—and you have to

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

come to his defense? Paula, you and Pat have become the self-appointed attorneys who defend others on this e-mail list. If Trooper doesn't like what I say—he is an adult, he can speak for himself, just as Ted could. The bottom line is that if nonsensical statements are made, someone will call them what they are. In this case, it was I. —Tiger

Subj: Fuhrman

Date: 97-03-05 12:55:44 EST

From: Peggy (Margaret Richardson)

To: Tiger

CC: All

Tiger—Before I start on anything else, please let me say that if I am writing to anyone who requested to be removed from my mailing list, please contact me personally to remove your name and I will be glad to do so. I know it can be infuriating receiving mail from someone you have requested not to write and they keep doing it.

Tiger, your last couple of e-mails, though not addressed to me, have been hurtful to the person they were addressed to. It's hard for me to understand your defense of Mark Fuhrman. I suppose the reason I haven't been able to find anything about Mr. Fuhrman since 1995 is because he resigned from the police force sometime in 1995 (I think) and therefore no other information has been forthcoming. What I was speaking of was his past "record." I have been attempting to access the California Court system to find some written record of the 4 brutality lawsuits he is alleged to be connected with. Also, I think it was public knowledge that the lawsuit involving him and another officer of planting evidence was settled during the Simpson criminal trial.

Mark Fuhrman's record stands on his own words. There actually was an incident that occurred in 1978 at a LA housing project which was identical to what he described to Laura

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

McKinny. Since Internal Affairs records are not available for public scrutiny we won't know what their investigation found. I don't think the LAPD is going to come out and admit that everything he said to Laura McKinny is true. Look at the legal ramifications of that.

Also, everyone has said, "Well, why hasn't anybody come forward?" I think because you are dealing with people that have had past experiences with the police department and who probably realize what their life could be like if they did come forward [*Editor's note: Henry Lee, a man as highly respected for his integrity as his expertise, had both his integrity and his expertise questioned when he said that there was something wrong with the blood evidence linked to O.J. by Fuhrman*].

I think you and I have absolutely no idea how the police can make your life a living hell if they choose to. And it doesn't take an entire police force, just a couple.

The law firm I worked for in Muskogee, Oklahoma, defended policemen who had been accused of brutality. We had two or three different counties that we represented the local police departments, and some of the stories would make you hair stand on end. They made the newspapers, so it's public knowledge. I realize a policeman puts his life on the line every time he goes out on the streets, and I realize that the majority of law enforcement officers are good, honest people, trying to do their job. But, I also realize there are people like Mark Fuhrman. And then someone like you comes to his defense, and it boggles my mind.

I know you are set in your convictions that OJ committed these crimes, and if it is ever proved to my satisfaction that he did, I will be glad to admit I was wrong, but until that time I need to be free to explore my avenues without ridicule. I will say one thing to Lion, thanks for answering my article with your article in defense of your thoughts, rather than putting me down for my thoughts. I know you also are

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

set in your conviction that OJ is guilty, but it's nice to know you at least will listen even though it does not change your opinion, and you will bring forward something for me to look at and digest.

It hurts me to hear people call each other “stupid” or “inarticulate,” or refer to them as “flat-earthers.” We all have our own ideas and opinions, and in this country we are free to stand on the corner and shout them to the world. God, now I'm lecturing, and I don't mean to do that. Anyhow, just thought I would get this off my chest. —Peggy

Subj: Re: Fuhrman

Date: 97-03-05 14:09:10 EST

From: Hhhana

To: Peggy

CC: Tiger, Trille, Trooper, Judge, Rabne, Pat

Peggy—Your message to Tiger was wonderful and kindly put. My hat is off to you; you are a special person and we are all lucky to have you as a part of this group. There can be no attempt to disguise personal insults and the feelings they cause. I have been trying for awhile now to have a friendly dialogue with all in the group and find it cannot be done, due to the exact things you try to point out in your previous message which I am proud to attach to this message to you. The words we have been seeing have no place here.

You expressed it eloquently and with heart. —Paula

Subj: Re Fuhrman

Date: 97-03-05

From: Tiger

To: Peggy

CC: Hhhana, Trille, Trooper, Judge, Rabne, Pat

Peggy—If you want to open a discussion about Fuhrman, I'm game. This is not about Fuhrman. It's about the murder

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD

of two people. I am not about to defend or defile Fuhrman when we are discussing the guilt or innocence of OJ Simpson, because that is the issue I am addressing. The Fuhrman issue was successfully used in the criminal trial to divert the jury from the irrefutable evidence that was presented. I am not about to participate in a similar diversion.

It is my absolute, irrevocable position that the suggestions that Mark Fuhrman (or anyone else) committed the murders is without basis, without foundation, without evidence, and a shot in the dark by those of you who will look in every direction but at the evidence compiled in this case. To suggest Mark Fuhrman may be the real killer is, to me, as absurd as suggesting that a UFO with hostile, invisible aliens committed the murders, because that would explain the absence of evidence to the contrary. I cannot say these things without appearing to be condescending. That is not my intent.

As I said to Christine—other than my description of Trooper as a person who has decided that some of us are not worthy of his responses—I do not publicly discuss the people in this group. Rest assured that I have, and will respond to any personal attack on me—but I have tried to be respectfully honest about my views—by commenting on the content of the message, not the messenger.

For example, if one of you claimed that DNA can present a false positive test result, I would tell you were totally wrong. If you insisted that DNA evidence was “junk science” I would answer that your remark was uninformed and childish. That would be my perception of the truth. If you want us to play little games, I cannot. I respect all opinions, so long as there is some credible basis to their formation. I cannot pretend to respect opinions that are, in my opinion, the expressions of ill-informed or immature judgment. My comments are addressed to the opinion, not the person.

Chapter 17: INTERSECTING WORLDS

I, too, can make unfounded statements that deserve the same description. When that has happened, I have come back with information to show the basis for my statement. That NEVER happens with the OJI's. We just get a harangue on the (true) racial history of this country—and the racist nature of the OJG's. That is usually followed by a statement about how everyone has the right to an opinion. You all certainly do. You may believe in the Tooth Fairy and the Easter Bunny, too. And I may consider those childish and unfounded conclusions for an adult mind.

Finally, I'm really amazed at the focus on my evaluations of what is being said here. I am not important; the issues are. Come up with ONE believable challenge of the evidence in the trials, and we are in business. —Tiger

IAGO IN BRENTWOOD